Comments Received by January 23, 2015 J-001B U&SI Mass Grub and Grade, Phase 1 (GoJ), PA Memo #2

Name	Comment/Question
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	We have reassigned Guam Historic Properties Inventory (GHPI) Site Nu mbers 66-04-2303 Adacao Latte Quany Site to the Magua Settlement Site. The reassignment reflect the site found and listed in David Welch's June 2010 report as described on Page 150 and shown on Page 180. However, the site shown on Page 180 is not complete and lacks two features described in Table 8.4. The site map must be submitted to our office in its entirety before any resolution of adverse effects can be considered, although the site may need to be resurveyed to locate these features.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	The actual survey area does not cover the entire APE listed in Figure 1. The archival survey may have sufficed for the 201 1 APE with its minimum impact, but this is not sufficient for the 2014 APE presented in the J-00l B PA Memo.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	Contrary to the narrative provided in Welch's 2010 Volume I, concerning Site 66-08-2303, "The haligi and tasa latte at this unique site are no longer in situ, that is, they are not embedded in the soil in their original arrangement in which they would have supported a house structure" (p. 147). The haligi in the photograph is shown in situ and embedded in the soil in Volume II, where other haligi and tasa latte elements look to have fallen in place.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	There is no prehistoric aspect presented in this PA Memo of Site 66-08-2302. This prehistoric aspect is also absent from Volume 1 of Welch's 2010 report, although it is clearly indicated in Volume II. An expansion on the prehistoric component described in Volume II may provide an association between Site 66-08-2302 and Map No. 1024, even though Map No. 1024 is from a disturbed context.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	In reviewing the MILCON P-101 project, this site is nowhere near the APE for Site 66-08-2305 or the PA Memo J-001B project. Our records show no mitigation has been completed or that the Guam Historic Property Inventory (GHPI) Data Form was submitted to our office for this site.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	Welch indicates in Volume II that Site 66-08-2307 was a prehistoric site, though the age of the site is indicated as "historical" - please provide an explanation for this discrepancy. This is similar to 66-08-2308, however, it is listed as both a prehistoric and historic site, though there is no explanation of the historic site in the description, just "near historically disturbed area" (Welch 2010, Vol. II, p. 98). Site 66-08-2309 is also listed as a prehistoric site, but historic in age.
Guam SHPO (RC2014-0625)	In reviewing the historical maps of the APE, we identified a farm site not located during Welch's 2010 survey that survived for 40 years on the historical maps. This site appears on three historical maps, the 1913- 14, the 1944 and the 1954 maps circled in red (Attachments 2, 3, and 4). We find no discussion of this site in the Welch's 2010 report in the background research, or why it wasn't located on the landscape.